Ectopic Megaureter Opening to Urethra and Leading to Pyonephrosis and Urinary Incontinence: Case Report
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ABSTRACT Ectopic ureter in adult female patients usually presents with urinary incontinence. Clinical manifestations include incontinence and urinary tract infections. Here we report a case of ectopic megaureter opening to urethra which caused incontinence and obstructive urinary symptoms in a 19 years old woman. When we reviewed the literature, we found several cases regarding ectopic ureter associated with a single (nonduplicated) collecting system opening to the urethra. Typically, the diagnosis is made after a long delay. Surgical reconstruction of an ectopic ureter should be planned according to the degree of renal function. Most patients are cured with ureteroneocystostomy or nephroureterectomy. However, following these surgical procedures, some incontinent women may have persistent urinary incontinence due to mal-development of the bladder neck and sphincter or Gartner’s duct cyst.
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copystickuretherogram (VCUG) and intravenous pyelogram (IVP) are
usually performed to determine EU. It is typically associated with a duplicated collecting system. There is a duplicated collecting system in 0-80% of EU and approximately 15% of EU have a single collecting system.3-5 EU opens to urethra extremely rarely. It frequently results with the dysplasia of associated renal segment in girls with ureteral duplication. However, single system ureteral ectopia is uncommon and is seen more frequently in boys. When we reviewed the literature, we found several cases regarding to EU associated with a single (nonduplicated) collecting system opening to the urethra. To our knowledge, this is the first case in the literature reporting an ectopic megaureter opening to urethra and causing pyonephrosis without renal function disturbance in a woman with a single collecting system. The aim of this case report is to present and discuss diagnostic and therapeutic problems of EU opening to urethra that causes pyonephrosis and urinary incontinence.

CASE REPORT

A 19-year-old female was referred with intermittent urinary incontinence starting from her childhood and recurrent left renal colic throughout the previous year. She had a normal micturition pattern and had delivered a baby 5 months previously. Her complaints increased after the childbirth. She applied to a medical center in June 2007 for a pregnancy control. At that time, left pyonephrosis was diagnosed. A percutaneous nephrostomy catheter (PNC) was inserted and antibiotics were administered. A second PNC was inserted after the pregnancy. When she was admitted to our clinic, grade 2 hydroureteronephrosis and a megaureter in left kidney (Figure 1A; distal ureter wide: 13 mm) were seen on US. The IVP confirmed the left hydroureteronephrosis and megaureter. The ureteric bud is formed by an out-pouching that arises from distal mesonephric duct at the fourth gestational week. Any variation in the origin of the ureteric bud will result in an anomalous position of the ureteral orifice. Therefore, the ureteral orifice is considered ectopic when it drains in a location different to its normal trigonal position. Megaureter is a generic term indicating the presence of an enlarged ureter with or without concomitant dilatation of upper collecting system. In practice, a ureter with a diameter of 7 mm or more should be considered as a megaureter.6 Megaureter may be primary or secondary, refluxing or nonrefluxing, obstructed or unobstructed, and nonrefluxing unobstructed.7 In our case, there was an ectopic megaureter (13 mm) draining into urethra and a single collecting system with pyonephrosis.

The cause of pyonephrosis and megaureter associated with EU opening to the urethra is the stricture at the ectopic ureteral orifice on the urethra. It is a fundamental difference between female and male ureteral ectopia and the probability of in-
continence is more common in females, because EU may more likely terminate at a level distal to continence mechanisms consisting of bladder neck and external sphincter. Similarly in our case, when we performed cystourethroscopy, we observed that EU orifice was opening to the mid-urethra. An EU may present with a single collecting system, however, about 70-80% of them are associated with complete ureteral duplication.7 In our case, the patient had dribbling incontinence since her childhood. Classic clinical presentations of this condition include urinary infection as the main symptom, hydronephrosis and pyonephrosis. Pyonephrosis is the end-result of purulent material concentrating at pyelocaliceal level due to the ectopic meatus being obstructed. This may subsequently cause renal parenchyma destruction and urinary incontinence.8 As in localization, clinical presentation varies in each gender, as well. Girls with EU usually suffer from recurrent urinary tract problems.

FIGURE 1: A Transverse US image through the bladder shows dilatation of left ureter (distal ureter width: 13 mm). B IVP revealed a hydronephrosis at left kidney with single collecting system. C Nephrostography shows the megaureter with hydroureteronephrosis at left kidney and it seems to be open the bladder.

FIGURE 2: Photograph of the ectopic mega ureter that drain into the urethra. A right angle clamp is inserted in ectopic ureter orifice. (See for colored form http://tipbilimleri.turkiyeklinikleri.com/)
infections, permanent urinary incontinence, vaginal discharge or colic pain. Treatment options depend on the degree of impairment of the renal function. If renal functions are normal, more conservative techniques, such as ureterovesical reimplantation, pyelo-pyelic anastomosis with ligation of the redundant ureter, uretero-ureteral anastomosis or endoscopic dilatation of obstructed ureteral orifice can be attempted. The result of surgery is very gratifying in unilateral EU as the chance to achieve complete dryness is very high. The same is not true for bilateral cases due to abnormal trigone, small bladder, and insufficiency of the bladder neck. Transient residual symptom of wetting after surgery for a single system EU has been reported. In our case, postoperative course was uncomplicated, and the patient had a satisfactory urinary continence. The most important determinant recognized for continence is the integrity of the bladder neck. If the ureter is draining a functioning kidney, reimplantation is the treatment of choice, like our case. A MAG-3 scan six weeks after surgery demonstrated satisfactory drainage of all functioning systems.

CONCLUSION

Persistent incontinence after toilet training in young girls and recurrent urinary tract infections should raise suspicion of an EU. Appropriate imaging studies should be obtained and carefully interpreted. If an EU is found, surgical reconstruction should be planned depending on the degree of the renal function.
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