Acute Myocarditis in Postpartum Period Presenting with Eosinophilia: Case Report
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ABSTRACT We report a rare case of 20-year-old woman with acute myocarditis in postpartum period presenting with eosinophilia with pericardial, pleural effusion and apical thrombus. She had underwent cesarean section 2 months ago. She was admitted to our clinic because of dyspnea, fatigue and swelling of legs for 1 month. Laboratory testing revealed eosinophil ratio 28%, eosinophil 1.8 × 10^9/L. Echocardiography showed reduced left ventricular systolic function, a fresh thrombus within left ventricular apex, spontan echo contrast within left ventricle and severe pericardial effusion with right atrial and ventricular collapse. Pericardiocentesis was performed. Pericardial and pleural fluid samples showed hypereosinophilia. With the onset of standart heart failure treatment and corticosteroid treatment, the patient’s clinical status, symptoms and laboratory findings improved dramatically. The left ventricular function and laboratory findings returned to normal completely at 6 months.
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Hypereosinophilic syndrome (HES) includes a heterogeneous group of disorders characterized by an absolute eosinophil count 1.5 × 10^9/L lasting for more than 6 months in the absence of any known cause of hypereosinophilia and with evidence of organ involvement. Cardiac disease occurs in more than 50% of HES patients and is the major cause of morbidity and mortality in these patients.1-3 Here we report the case of a 20-year-old woman who presented with hypereosinophilia and severe, however reversible, impairment of the left ventricular function in postpartum period.
CASE REPORT

A 20-year-old women was admitted to our clinic because of dyspnea, fatigue and swelling of legs for 1 month of period. She had undergone cesarean section 2 months ago. She had a history of bronchial asthma for 5 years. She had been admitted two times in last month due to nausea, vomiting, cough and dispnea and had been treated with antiasthmatic drugs including inhaler steroid with a diagnosis of asthma and treated with antibiotic with a diagnosis of urinary tract infection.

The blood pressure was 90/60 mmHg. The heart rate was 98 bpm and regular. There was no rales or rhonchi. An S3 was audible on cardiac examination. Electrocardiography showed sinus rhythm, low voltage and poor r wave progression (Figure 1). Chest radiograph showed pleural effusion on the left side. Echocardiography showed reduced left ventricular function (ejection fraction 20% with simpson method), a fresh thrombus within left ventricular apex, spontan echo contrast within left ventricle and severe pericardial effusion with right atrial and ventricular collapse (Figure 2, 3, 4). Laboratory testing revealed the following values: glucose-89 mg/dL, creatinin-0.8 mg/dL, AST-19U/l, ALT-19U/l, hemoglobin-11.7 mg/dL, thrombocyte-542000/mm³, eosinophil ratio 28%, eosinophil 1.8 x 10⁹/L, CRP-36.1, Ig E>1120 IU/mL and ESR-40 mm/h.

The patient was hospitalized for investigation and treatment. She underwent pericardiocentesis due to tamponade findings and 300 cc of bloody fluid was removed. The fluid was exudative and analysis showed 600 WBC/mm³ and dancy-

1015. Bacterial cultures of the fluid was sterile and cytologic examination of fluid revealed an eosinophilic infiltrate without malignant cells. Abdominal ultrasonography revealed ascites and bilateral pleural effusion. We thought eosinophilic myocarditis as a diagnosis firstly. However, we did not perform

FIGURE 1: The admission 12-leads electrocardiogram reveals sinus rhythm, low voltage and poor r wave progression.

FIGURE 2: The echocardiogram showing the apical thrombus, spontan echo contrast and right atrial compression.

FIGURE 3: The echocardiogram showing the right ventricular compression.

FIGURE 4: The echocardiogram showing the severely reduced left ventricular function with normal cardiac chambers.
endomyocardial biopsy, so we could not say that the exact diagnosis is eosinophilic myocarditis. The consultation was made by department of hematology due to eosinophilia. Silazapril 1 mg, furosemide 80 mg, enoxaparin 0.6 SC 2 × 1, warfarin 5 mg and prednisolone 48 mg/day was began. Within 3 days after the onset of medication the patient’s clinical status and symptoms improved dramatically. Due to intractable cough silazapril was stopped and candesartan was started. There was also a mild degree of fever. The consultation was made by department of Chest Diseases. Salbutamol, asetil cystein, budesonid and sulbatam-ampicillin were added to treatment with diagnosis of pneumonia. A repeat chest X-ray showed pleural effusion. Thoracocentesis performed yielded a transudative pleural fluid. Bacterial cultures of the fluid was sterile and cytotlogic examination of fluid revealed small number of eosinophilic infiltrate without malignant cells. The stool examination was negative for parasitic infections. The autoantibody studies did not indicate the presence of any systemic connective tissue disease either. The blood, sputum and throat cultures were negative. Bone marrow needle aspiration biopsy was taken after stabilization of the patient and massive eosinophilic hyperplasia of bone marrow was found. Acute leukemia was excluded.

The repeated echocardiograms 10 days later showed no change in ejection fraction but regression of pericardial effusion and thrombus. The patient was discharged 15 days later without any clinical sign of congestive heart failure. The echocardiogram at six months showed normal left ventricular systolic function and normal laboratory findings. She remains well with normal laboratory findings at five-years follow up.

**DISCUSSION**

HES constitute a rare and heterogeneous group of disorders, defined as persistent and marked blood eosinophilia (> 1.5 × 10^9/L for more than six consecutive months) associated with evidence of eosinophil-induced organ damage, where other causes of hypereosinophilia such as allergic, parasitic, and malignant disorders have been excluded. HES occur most frequently in young to middle-aged patients, but may concern any age group. Men account for about 85% of patients and the syndrome primarily occurs in middle age. Target-organ damage mediated by eosinophils is highly variable among patients with involvement of skin, heart, lung, and central and peripheral nervous system in more than 50% of cases. Cardiac disease is the major cause of morbidity and mortality in HES, occurring in 48% to 75% of HES cases. Eosinophilic endomyocardial disease can be classified into three groups:

1. Acute necrotizing stage: pathologically it is characterized by an active infiltration of the myocardium by eosinophils, lymphocytes and histiocytes

2. Thrombotic phase:

3. Late fibrotic phase. Cardiac damage follows extracellular protein deposit and activation of eosinophils by IL-5. Eosinophilic myocarditis is a rare condition. Eosinophilic myocarditis in peripartum stage is a very rare condition and there are only two reports.

We presented a case of acute myocarditis in postpartum period presenting with eosinophilia with severe impaired left ventricular function, which was reversible with heart failure and prednisolone treatment. We thought eosinophilic myocarditis as a first diagnosis. However, in our case, we lacked previous blood counts of the patient. We also didn’t perform endomyocardial biopsy. Thus, the settled diagnosis of eosinophilic myocarditis was of suspicion. However, all blood count, pericardial and pleural fluid samples showed hypereosinophilia. The signs and symptoms of the patient improved dramatically with corticosteroid treatment. Other parasitic, immunologic and neoplastic causes of eosinophilia were excluded in our patient. We suspected that eosinophilia in the blood, associated with severe illness and a prompt clinical improvement, coinciding with normalization of the blood count in response to steroids, were indicative of the presence of a pathogenic relation between the eosinophilia and myocardial damage.

There are only two reports eosinophilic myocarditis in postpartum period. In previous reports, chronic irreversible impairment of left ventricular
function had been occurred. However, in our case, left ventricular systolic function returned to normal levels with only continuation of diastolic dysfunction. Only Gehrke D et al reported associated pericardial effusion in the postpartum eosinophilic myocarditis. In our case, there were pericardial effusion, pleural effusions with eosinophilic infiltrates and apical thrombus. Due to right ventricular compression, pericardiocentesis was performed. She remains well with normal laboratory findings at five-years follow up.

In conclusion, eosinophilia can be a rare cause of myocarditis. Every effort for establishing the diagnosis should be performed since even severe cardiac dysfunction may be reversible upon appropriate therapy including corticosteroids.
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