esenchymal tumours of the vulvovaginal region range from benign to locally aggressive and malignant tumors. Benign tumors of the vulva are relatively uncommon, constituting a large spectrum of tumors such as angiofibroma, angiomyofibroblastoma, lymphangioma and schwannoma. Fibroepithelial polyp (acrochordon, fibrolipoma, soft fibroma) is a benign neoplastic/hamartomatous polypoid mass of vulva. Although it is a common cutaneous lesion which has a propensity for axilla, neck, groin, eyelids and chest, is relatively uncommon in female genital tract. When seen in the female genital tract, it is usually seen in vagina.
Vaginal FEPs are mostly associated with external hormone usage and pregnancy. Vulva is an uncommon location for FEP. It usually arises on hair bearing skin of labium majus. The origin of a vulvar FEP is most probably a regressing nevus. Small FEPs may resemble nevi while large lesions may present cosmetic problems. Large FEP of vulva is a very rare entity.

There are three clinical types of FEPs: furrowed papules approximately 2 mm in width and height; filiform lesions, approximately 2 mm in width and 5 mm in height; and large bag-like protuberances. The term “acrochordon” is used for the smaller lesions whereas FEP is generally used for the latter.

On cut section FEPs are soft and fleshy. The histological features vary with the clinical type. The larger, bag-like lesions are covered with stratified squamous epithelium and usually have a stroma composed of loosely arranged collagen with dilated vascular structures and a central core of adipose tissue.

A 39 year old female patient presented to our dermatology outpatient clinic with a complaint of a vulvar mass.

**CLINICAL FINDINGS**

Upon examination, she was referred to the gynecology outpatient clinic. The patient admitted that she first noticed a bump on her vulva 2 years ago which has been growing gradually. History did not reveal any chronic diseases or previous operations. Physical examination revealed a pedunculated mass on her right labium majus which has a diameter of approximately 6 cm (Figure 1). There was no tenderness or pain on palpation of the mass area. The patient did not have any accompanying polypoid mass on skin of any other body region. According to vaginal examination, uterus was in normal size and adnexes were non-palpable, bilaterally. Ultrasonography did not reveal any abnormalities in the internal genital tract. The patient had a high Body Mass Index (BMI) of 35.5 which indicated her to be categorised as obese. Complete blood count and biochemical analysis were in normal range. Due to cosmetic reasons, the mass was excised under general anesthesia. The patient was discharged and the one-month gynaecology outpatient clinic follow up was normal, without any post-operative complications.

**PATHOLOGICAL FINDINGS**

On pathologic macroscopic examination, the excisional skin biopsy revealed a tan colored polypoid mass which had a size of 5.5x4.5x3.5 cm with a peduncle of 8 mm length and 4 mm width. The cut surface was soft and fleshy, white in color with focal milimetric dark red areas (Figure 2). Histopathologic examination revealed a lesion layered with stratified squamous epithelium with loose, edematous and slightly fibrous stroma, which had dilated vascular formations and sparse fibroblast-like cells dispersed throughout. Scattered fat tissue was observed at the center of the stroma. There was no significant mitotic activity, cellular atypia or necrosis (Figures 3, 4). According to these histopathologic findings, the lesion had the diagnosis of a FEP.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

Acrochordon (fibroepithelial polyp, fibrolipoma, soft fibroma) is a common benign cutaneous lesion which has a predilection for axilla, neck, groin, eyelids and beneath breasts. There are three clinical types of acrochordons: furrowed papules ap-
proximately 2 mm in width and height; filiform lesions, approximately 2 mm in width and 5 mm in height; and large bag-like protuberances. The term “fibroepithelial polyp” (FEP) is generally used for the latter.5

FEPs are relatively uncommon in female genital tract. Although they are rare in female genital tract, they appear more common in vagina than in vulva where they occur rarely as observed in our case.4 FEPs are benign tumours of the vulvar skin which have various stromal and epithelial component.9 FEPs of the female genital tract are typically seen in women of reproductive age. They present as polypoid and exophytic masses.9 FEPs rarely exceed 1-2 cm. There are a few reported cases of large fibroepithelial stromal polyps of the vulva, as seen in our case.7,8,10

FEPs of the vulva are thought to arise from a regressing nevus. Frequent irritation seems to be another important etiologic factor of these skin tags, especially in obese patients, as our patient. According to another opinion, FEPs raise on the aging skin, with many factors responsible for their development.4 There are several studies which reveal that the vaginal FEPs are associated with external hormone usage and pregnancy. Larger vaginal lesions are observed to arise from the proliferation of mesenchymal cells within the hormonally sensitive subepithelial stromal layer of the lower genital tract. Hence, hormonal imbalances (e.g., high levels of estrogen and progesterone during pregnancy and external hormone usage) may facilitate the development of FEPs.4,8 Our patient did not have a history of external hormone usage.

Obesity has been stated to propose a risk factor for the development of skin tags. Also in several studies FEPs have been stated to be an indicator of impaired glucose metabolism and diabetes mellitus type II.11 Our patient was also obese with a BMI of 35 but she did not have a known history of diabetes.

FEPs don’t cause any symptoms such as pain unless inflamed or irritated.12 The larger FEPs may create cosmetic and mechanical problems. A large
lesion between the legs may result in discomfort. Excision is the curative treatment for symptomatic FEPs.13

FEPs of the vulvovaginal region are benign lesions. Occasionally, they may exhibit pseudosarcomatous features including hypercellularity, cytologic atypia and increased mitotic rate. Although these histopathologic features are worrisome, these lesions are still benign.4 Although some of such described cases showed recurrence, none of the lesions that recurred had poor prognosis. There was no evidence of metastasis in any of the patients.13

When hypocellular like in our case, FEPs are easily recognized by histopathological interpretation. However FEPs with atypical mitoses or hypercellularity may create a suspicion of malignancy. Such cases might be misdiagnosed as malignancy and this may lead to overtreatment.13

Although fibroepithelial polyps are benign, a few of the cases in the literature recurred. Incomplete excision, pregnancy or multifocality have been stated as factors which are in relationship with recurrence.8,9

Histopathological examination is necessary to exclude malignant lesions such as aggressive angiomyxoma, angiomyofibroblastoma and sarcoma as these rare malignant tumors of female genital tract also present as pedunculated polyposy masses.9,14,15

We report this rare case of giant acrochordon (FEP) of labium majus and performed a review of the literature in order to highlight the important points such as diagnostic pitfalls that might arise in, and to accentuate the importance of histopathologic evaluation of this rare vulvar entity.
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