Spontaneous heterotopic pregnancy (HP) is rare and defined as combination of intrauterine and ectopic embryo implantation in one pregnancy. Most cases present with acute abdominal pain with hemoperitoneum. During the early stages of pregnancy, a HP diagnosis is uncommon. In the following, we present a case report of spontaneous HP, which was diagnosed before tubal rupture, and discuss methods of early diagnosis. A 27-year-old woman was admitted to the clinic complaining of vaginal spotting. An ultrasonography revealed two corpus luteum cysts, as well as a six-week intrauterine pregnancy together with a right tubal pregnancy with no pelvic free fluid. A laparoscopic right salpingectomy was performed. The pregnancy continued with no complications and ended after a gestation period of 38 weeks and four days with a vaginal delivery. In conclusion, it could be beneficial to use a vaginal ultrasound for examining not only uterus but also both adnexal regions at the early pregnancy period.
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nancy). Hers was a spontaneous conception. Prior to that, she had had an uneventful pregnancy ending in vaginal delivery. She did not have any risk factors for an ectopic pregnancy. Both her abdominal and vaginal examinations were normal except for brownish vaginal discharge. A vaginal ultrasound was performed by a senior sonographer and showed the intrauterine gestational sac, a crown-rump length of 4 mm and current cardiac activity (Figure 1). She had two corpus luteum cysts (CL) on her left ovary (Figure 2 A). On the contralateral part, there was a mass measuring 13 by 11 mm located on the right tuba with a yolk sac (Figure 2 B). The tuba seemed to be inflamed but serosal integrity was not disrupted. No free fluid was detected in the pelvis. The patient was informed of her medical situation and a laparoscopic right salpingectomy was performed for the ectopic focus (Figure 3 A, Figure 3 B). The pathology revealed a tubal ectopic pregnancy (Figure 4). On the second day following the operation, foetal cardiac activity was confirmed and the patient was discharged from the hospital for a routine pregnancy follow-up. The patient’s first trimester assessment was carried out without problems (Figure 5). Her pregnancy ended at 38 weeks and four days of gestation with a spontaneous labour; a healthy newborn weighing 3.650 grams was delivered vaginally.

**DISCUSSION**

Spontaneous heterotopic pregnancy is a rare condition. The detection of an intrauterine pregnancy reduces the likelihood of an ectopic pregnancy, but a case of HP may be missed. Due to this state of affairs, most HPs present with acute abdominal pain and hemoperitoneum. The early diagnosis of a heterotopic pregnancy is important in order to prevent possible progression of an adverse medical situation and providing minimally invasive treatment approaches. On the other hand, early diagnosis is difficult and is primarily based on clinical suspicion. In the literature, very few cases have been reported as being detected during the first trimester, and only in one case was the baby delivered with no problems at all (Table 1).
Clinical suspicion for the HP comes into forefront for diagnosing a heterotopic case.1 Because of higher trophoblastic tissue by comparing to single pregnancies increased b-hCG levels may be a good indicator for the clinical suspicion. Nevertheless, wide reference range for b-hCG levels detected in the gestational nomogram may impede with inspiring a suspicion to the clinician for differential diagnosis of HP.8 In the present case, the b-hCG values were compatible with a five-week pregnancy and this was correlated with the actual gestational week of the patient. Another indicator for suspecting HP may be the pelvic pain.9 In cases of heterotopic pregnancy, pelvic pain can be characterized by tubal tension and inflammation, but this pain may also be readily mistaken for the stretching pain arising from the growing uterus. In the absence of abdominal tenderness, it could become more difficult to have suspicion about pelvic pathology. In the present case abdominal examination was normal without creating pelvic pathology.

CL cysts may be a good indicator for the number of ovulation except for the monozygocity. During the early stages of pregnancy, carrying out an adnexal evaluation and determining the CL count by ultrasound can be an objective way for clinicians to confirm their suspicions of HP. In the present case study, the presence of more than one CL led us to suspect of HP. The early diagnosis was given us an opportunity to be manage by minimally invasive approach, besides may have an additive effect for continuing the on going intrauterine pregnancy. To the best of our knowledge, this is the


FIGURE 4: Histopathologic view of salpingectomy material.

FIGURE 5: First Trimester Ultrasound assessment.
Early diagnosis of HP is crucial for determining the initial management approaches and outcomes for the ongoing pregnancy. Detecting an intrauterine pregnancy is usually the main reason for missing a case of HP during the early stages of pregnancy. HP is usually clinically diagnosed after tubal integrity has been disrupted. Following an ultrasound, the detection of more than one CL may be an objective initial sign of HP. This may also raise clinical suspicion of a possible HP, even in cases where an intrauterine pregnancy has been detected, which is the key point for the diagnosis. The early diagnosis may provide better management approaches and outcomes for the ongoing pregnancy. It could be beneficial to use a vaginal ultrasound for examining not only uterus but also both adnexal regions at the early pregnancy period.

Previously reported heterotopic pregnancy cases: initial symptoms and gestational week at the time of diagnosis and pregnancy outcomes are demonstrated.
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