ibro-osseous lesions are pathologies that are characterized by the placement of normal bone structure with fibroblasts and fibrous connective tissue. These lesions were classified as fibrous dysplasia, cemento-osseous dysplasia, and cemento-ossifying fibroma (COF) by Neville in 1995. Later, they were classified as fibrous dysplasia, osseous dysplasia, and ossifying fibroma by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2005. Even though these lesions have different characteristics, they do show similar clinical and radiological features. However, they present with different biological features. While osseous dysplasia and fibrous dysplasia are reactive lesions, ossifying fibroma is the most common of the neoplastic components of fibro-osseous lesions. One distinguishing feature that may be

**Recurrent Cemento-Ossifying Fibroma of the Mandible**

Mandibulada Tekrarlayan Semento-Ossifiye Fibroma

**ABSTRACT** Cemento-ossifying fibroma (COF) is an uncommon, benign fibro-osseous lesion that is often localized in mandibular premolar and molar areas. A COF appears as a single, slow growing lesion, which is usually asymptomatic until facial asymmetry and swelling occur, depending on the tumour growth. The most common clinical signs of COF are swelling and tooth displacement. COF lesions are normally observed from the 2nd through 4th decades of life, most commonly in women. The treatment consists of enucleation or radical surgery, depending on the location and size of the lesion. Despite the fact that recurrence is considered to be rare, regular postoperative follow-ups are important for early detection. In this case report, a recurrent COF is presented, characterized by asymptomatic mandibular lesions and diagnosed based on the clinical, radiological and pathological findings.
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**ÖZET** Semento-ossifiye fibroma (SOF) nadir görülen, çoğunlukla mandibular premolar ve molar bölgelerde gözlenen benign fibro-oszöz bir lezyondur. SOF tek, yavaş büyüyen bir lezyon olarak ortaya çıkar, tümör büyümesine bağlı fasiyal asimetri ve şişlik ortaya çıkıcaya kadar genellikle asemptomatiktir. SOF'un en yaygın görülen klinik bulguları şişlik ve diş deplasmanlardır. SOF lezyonlarının genellikle kadınlarda, hayatın 2. ve 4. dekadlarında görüldür. SOF lezyonlarının tedavisi lezyonların lokalizasyonuna ve boyutuna bağlı olarak enükleasyon veya radikal cerrahi yöntemdir. Recürrens nadir görülmesine rağmen düzenli postoperatif takip erken tespit için önemlidir. Bu olgu raporunda, klinik, radyoloji ve patoloji bulgulara dayanarak tanı koyulan, asemptomatik mandibular lezyonlarla karakterize, recürrent SOF vakası sunulmaktadır.

**Anahtar Kelimeler:** Fibrom, ossifiye; konik ışınlı bilgisayarlı tomografi

**Fibro-osseous lesions are pathologies that are characterized by the replacement of normal bone structure with fibroblasts and fibrous connective tissue. These lesions were classified as fibrous dysplasia, cemento-osseous dysplasia and cemento-ossifying fibroma (COF) by Neville in 1995. Later, they were classified as fibrous dysplasia, osseous dysplasia and ossifying fibroma by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2005. Even though these lesions have different characteristics, they do show similar clinical and radiological features. However, they present with different biological features. While osseous dysplasia and fibrous dysplasia are reactive lesions, ossifying fibroma is the most common of the neoplastic components of fibro-osseous lesions. One distinguishing feature that may be**
present is a soft tissue capsule at the periphery, which is not seen in fibrous dysplasia. For this reason, a final diagnosis is important.5

COF lesions are normally observed from the 2nd through 4th decades of life, most commonly in women, and are more often in the mandibular premolar and molar areas.6 They can be seen in both jaws, but occur more frequently in the mandible compared to the maxilla. COF lesions are rarely seen in facial sinuses and nasal cavities. COF appears as a single, slow growing lesion that is usually asymptomatic, until swelling and facial asymmetry occur, depending on the tumour growth. The most common clinical signs of COF are swelling and tooth displacement.1

Radiographically, COF is seen as a well-defined, unilocular, radiolucent mass containing opaque areas in relation to the amount of the comprising calcified components. Early stage lesions are completely radiolucent, while progressively mixed and mature lesions are radiopaque and surrounded by a radiolucent halo.5,7 COF is diagnosed by clinical, radiographic and histopathological findings.4,8,9

The treatment options for COF includes enucleation or radical surgery, depending on the location and size of the lesion. Large lesions require a detailed determination of the extent of the lesion, which can be obtained with advanced imaging methods.10 COF should be removed completely and surgically to prevent recurrence. Even though recurrence is considered to be rare, regular postoperative follow ups are important for early detection of a possible relapse.11

A recurrent COF, which was characterized by asymptomatic mandibular lesions and was diagnosed based on clinical, radiological and pathological findings is presented in this case report.

CASE REPORT

A 34-year-old female patient was referred to Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology clinic of the Atatürk University Dentistry Faculty with complaint of painless swelling in the right mandible. The clinical examination revealed buccolingual expansion throughout the region of the right mandibular first molar tooth (Figure 1). A panoramic radiograph revealed a mixed radiopaque and radiolucent lesion with an ill-defined, scalloped and radiolucent border (Figure 2). The patient’s medical history showed that she had no systemic diseases. The teeth associated with the lesion were vital, without any restorations or decay. A mixed lesion with hyperdense foci with undetectable margins was observed in dentition area 46 on cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) images (NewTom FP, Quantitative Radiology, Verona, Italy). The lesion had resulted with an enlargement and thinning of the buccolingual bones (Figure 3). Despite the involvement of the superior mandibular canal wall, the patient had no complaints of paraesthesia. The patient was referred to oral surgery department to undergo an excisional biopsy, and the biopsy specimen was sent for a histopathological analysis with a preliminary diagnosis of a COF.

The pathology report showed dense, compact, bone-cement looking areas with irregular lamellation, and tissue samples containing cellular stroma composed of spindle fibroblastic cells (Figure 4).
Findings suggestive of a malignancy were not observed, and the histological diagnosis revealed that the tissue was compatible with a benign fibro-osseous lesion. The patient was diagnosed with COF, which was also compatible with clinical findings. At six months follow-up, the patient had no pain or swelling, but panoramic radiography demonstrated a well-defined, mixed, radiopaque-radiolucent lesion with a radiolucent border (Figure 5). Another excisional biopsy was performed, which was histologically diagnosed as recurrent COF of oral cavity. After one year of follow-up from the second surgery, there was no evidence of recurrence.

DISCUSSION

COF is a benign fibro-osseous lesion of the mandible that is more frequently found in females during the 2nd through 4th decades of life. The most prominent features are the buccal and lingual expansion and growth up to the lower limit of the mandible. These lesions are usually asymptomatic, but may cause asymmetrical swelling with a noticeable deformity. The majority of these lesions occur in the premolar-molar area, and the adjacent teeth are replaced. When the lesion reaches a large size; it may result with tooth resorption, locational changes and erosion of the mandible.

Radiologically, cemento-ossifying fibroma presents in various patterns, depending on the degree of mineralization. In early stages, the lesion is radiolucent, with no evidence of internal radiopacity, but as it matures, the internal calcification increases, and the radiolucency becomes flecked with opacities and ultimately appears as a uniform radiopaque mass.

The differential diagnosis of COF should include fibrous dysplasia and other fibro-osseous lesions. COF is often misdiagnosed as focal cemento-osseous dysplasia (FCOD), which displays similar radiological findings. However, FCOD lesions are smaller than COF lesions, they are observed around the roots of mandibular teeth and do not cause bone expansion. Contrarily, COF is an aggressive lesion that causes cortical expansion and often leads to the separation of the teeth. It should be kept in mind that both lesions may exhibit similar histopathological features.

In completely radiolucent lesions, the differential diagnosis should be made considering lesions that reveal radiographically similar appearances, such as odontogenic cyst, periapical granuloma, traumatic bone cyst, unilocular ameloblastoma and central giant cell granuloma. In mixed lesions, the differential diagnosis should include an osteoblastoma, calcifying cystic odontogenic tumour and calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumour. For ra-
diopaque lesions, the preliminary radiographic diagnosis should include complex coupled odontoma and idiopathic osteosclerosis. In addition, COF with a very large size may be misdiagnosed as an osteogenic sarcoma.\textsuperscript{13,14}

There are two histological types of cemento-osifying fibromas that are indistinguishable both clinically and radiologically. The histopathological features of COF consist of cement-like material and metaplastic bone.\textsuperscript{5} Moreover, histological parameters that are specific for COF have been defined clearly and do not show any major changes. This specific histomorphological appearance facilitates the differential diagnosis from other fibro-osseous lesions.\textsuperscript{12}

For a definitive diagnosis of COF, the clinical, radiographic and histopathological findings should be considered altogether. Today, in the absence of specific clinical and radiographic findings, pathologists avoid a definite diagnosis, and they usually inform of their general opinion, such as a benign fibro-osseous lesion.\textsuperscript{4,18} When considering the unsuitable treatments, this is advocated as the most acceptable approach.\textsuperscript{15}

The treatment of COF consists of enucleation by curettage or radical surgery. Although there are many advantages to a conservative treatment, in order to avoid or minimize the recurrence, a partial or bloc resection of the jaw is preferred for the larger lesions.\textsuperscript{16} Despite the fact that the recurrence rate of benign tumours appears to be extremely low, this rate has been reported as 10-28\% after enucleation and 5\% after resection.\textsuperscript{17,18} In this case report, surgical enucleation with curettage was preferred for the treat COF lesion.

The clinical, radiographic and pathological findings of a COF should be considered altogether in order to determine the correct diagnosis and treatment. In addition, all treated COF cases should be strictly followed up. Recurrent cases should be treated aggressively, followed by reconstruction of the defect, if necessary.
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